



## Final Motions and results from the FSC General Assembly 2008

### Summary of Motions

Motion Number and Title	Category	Status	Results
1. Motion to keep the commitment to have Spanish as official language of the FSC and to have the organization's principal documents and web page available in Spanish.	Statutory	Amended	Approved
2. Motion to support measures to improve FSC's governance structures.	Statutory – changed to policy	Amended	Approved as policy motion
3. Motion to Criterion 6.8 - Biological control	Statutory	Withdrawn	Withdrawn
4. Motion to improve dispute resolution in the FSC system	Statutory	Amended	Approved
5. Motion to harmonize the rules for achieving quorum with that of decision making in the FSC system.	statutory	Amended	Approved
6. Motion to strengthen the FSC Membership System	Statutory – changed to policy	Amended	Approved as policy motion
7. Motion to Improve the Effectiveness of the FSC Board of Directors and FSC Senior Management Team	Statutory – changed to policy	Amended	Approved as policy motion
8. Motion to review Principle 3	Policy	Amended	Approved
9. Motion to ensure that the new P&C do not assign unjust responsibilities to owners of tropical forests	Policy	Original	Not passed
10. Motion to ensure adequate wording of the FSC P&C to make it applicable to every type	Policy	Amended	Approved



and scale of forests in the world			
11. Motion to limit the FSC standard to the principles and criteria	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
12. Motion to consider amending the membership eligibility criteria in group CoC certificates based on the socio economic conditions of each region	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
13. Motion to enlarge COC for pig iron	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
14. Motion to review FSC-STD-40-004 - Minor Components	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
15. Motion to pre-consumer reclaimed fiber material	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
16. Motion related to minor components	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
17. Motion to review the labeling policy of FSC	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
18. Proposed Revision to FSC- TMK-50-201 (Version 1-0) EN - FSC Requirements for the promotional use of the FSC trademarks by FSC certificate holders and non-certified commercial organizations	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Not passed</b>
19. Community labeling	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
20. Motion to facilitate the process for use of the FSC logo in the graphic arts sector	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
21. Motion to marketing and protection of the FSC mark	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>



22. Motion to change the controlled wood standard	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
23. Conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the Controlled Wood system	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended (merged with motion 25)</b>	<b>Approved</b>
24. Motion to improving the implementation of the Controlled Wood Standard	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
25. Motion regarding amendment of FSC-STD-40-005 and FSC-STD-30-010	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>withdrawn</b>
26. Motion to revise the Controlled Wood Standard	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
27. Motion to address the needs of communities and small forest owners in the global North and South	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>
28. Motion to develop and implement a strategy to increase the certification in the tropics	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>
29. Motion to reduce costs to SLIMF certification by ending the need for translation of public summaries for SLIMF operations	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
30. Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN) – 1	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
31. Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN) – 2	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
32. Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN) – 3	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended (merged with motions 30 and 31)</b>	<b>Approved</b>



33. Motion to review and update the FSC disputes process	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
34. Motion to speeding up dispute resolution processes	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
35. Motion to implement a formal procedure for FSC standard setting that will allow for realistic implementation of standards	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>
36. Motion to public consultation of guidance documents, procedures and advice notes via the FSC web page	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
37. Motion for verification of the implementation of new requirements and criteria	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
38. Motion to have a single format for public summaries of forest management assessments	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>
39. Motion on Inclusion of Labor in FSC	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
40. FSC FM certificates not to be issued before publication of public summary reports	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
41. Chemical derogation process	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
42. Motion for preparation of stakeholders for the consultation process	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Not passed</b>
43. FSC Engagement in Forest-based Carbon	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
44. Motion for FSC Certification of Forest Conservation and Forest Protected Areas	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>



45. Publication of reports from ASI surveillance audits	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
46. Motion to move ASI decision making from the FSC Executive Director to ASI Director	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
47. Motion to manage potential conflicts of interest in certification	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
48. Motion for Access to the public summaries of forest management assessments	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
49. Motion to strengthen quality control of certifications	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
50. Motion to enhance the performance of FSC-accredited certification bodies	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
51. Motion to improve CB performance – Stakeholder consultation	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
52. Independence of certifying bodies	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
53. Motion to prohibit deceptive marketing practices by Certifying Bodies	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Approved</b>
54. Translation of ASI reports	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
55. Motion for access to summaries of Forest Management Plans	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
56. Mechanisms for sharing of resources in the network	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Approved</b>
57. Motion to the role of National Initiatives (Governance)	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>



58. The right for NI staff to speak at the GA	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended</b>	<b>Not passed</b>
59. Motion on the role of FSC National Initiatives in the global FSC network	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Amended (with elements of motions 56, 57)</b>	<b>Approved</b>
60. Motion to determine priorities for FSC over the next three years	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
61. Motion to improve quality and consistency in FSC service delivery	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
62. Basis of FSC fee structure	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>
63. Motion to promote certified operations commitment to FSC	<b>Policy</b>	<b>Original</b>	<b>Not passed</b>
64. Motion to promote solidarity in the network through the Twinning of National Initiatives from the South and the North through formal agreements	<b>Policy</b>	<b>NEW</b>	<b>Approved</b>
65. Motion to promote an equitable distribution through the network	<b>Policy</b>	<b>NEW</b>	<b>Approved</b>



**Details of Motions**

<b>Motion to keep the commitment to have Spanish as official language of the FSC and to have the organization's principal documents and web page available in Spanish.</b>		<b>Statutory 1 AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Elisa Pardo Vegezzi Organization: Individual Chamber: Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Pablo Antelo Organization: Individual Chamber: Economic South	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Henry Moreno Organization: Individual Chamber: Economic South	
<b>Statutory Motion:</b> The FSC's General Assembly requests the International Secretariat to translate the organization's main documents (Policies, Standards, etc.) and web page into the Spanish language.		
<b>Changes to the Statutes (required):</b>  Changes to the By-Laws (required): Art. 77 (current text): The official languages of the FSC are English and Spanish. Other languages may be added in the future. Art.77 (proposed text): 77. The official languages of the FSC are English and Spanish. Therefore, the principal documents and materials published by the FSC shall be available in both languages. Documents submitted to FSC (Standards, applications, etc.) may be in English or Spanish. In the future other languages could be included.		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Voted by show of cards. Overwhelming majority in favor.	

<b>Motion to support measures to improve FSC's governance structures.</b>		<b>Statutory Policy 2 AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Timothy Synnott Organisation: Estudios Forestales Synnott S.C. Chamber: Economic South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Anna Jenkins Organisation: Ethical Change Chamber: Economic North	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: John Palmer Organisation: Individual Chamber: Environmental North	
<b>Statutory Motion:</b>  The General Assembly appreciates the progress made by the Board of Directors over Motion 51 from the 4th General Assembly. Taking the Board's Governance Paper as a mandate for completing the task, the General Assembly calls on the FSC executive staff to make urgent progress with the outstanding issues:  The General Assembly requests the Executive Director and the senior Secretariat staff to obtain professional advice from specialists in governance and legal and institutional development to determine a suitable definition and division of responsibilities for each of the FSC legal entities, for the proposed Senior Executive Group, for any FSC regional offices, and for the proposed regional networks and councils, and to negotiate a suitable		



contract between the Forest Stewardship Council A.C. and National Initiatives. National Initiatives should be consulted in the development of a range of options with their cost implications, and should be party to the decision about the options selected. The General Assembly of members should be informed and consulted about the range of options for changes to the Statutes and By-Laws and their cost implications before having the opportunity to make decisions.	
<b>Changes to Statutes (required):</b>	
<b>Changes to Bylaws (required):</b>	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by written ballot: 70.7 % YES; 29.3 % NO.

<b>Motion to Criterion 6.8 - Biological control</b>	<b>Statutory 3</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to improve dispute resolution in the FSC system</b>	<b>Statutory 4</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY</b>	
Name: FSC Board of Directors	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> N/A	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> N/A
<b>Statutory Motion:</b>	
The FSC General Assembly approves that an independent Dispute Resolution Committee be created and that the current Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee of the FSC Board of Directors be terminated. FSC shall also streamline its complaints procedures to ensure timely and effective dispute resolution and produce an annual report of compliance actions.	
<b>Changes to the Statutes (required):</b>	
<i>The following FSC Statutes shall be removed:</i>	
<b>THIRTIETH</b> The Board shall establish a Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee to (i) deal with disputes and grievances from Associates and (ii) review accreditation decisions.	
<b>THIRTY FIRST</b> The Committee shall be appointed by the Board and shall be made up of six representatives, provided such committee shall be formed by three members from the Northern sub-chamber and three from the Southern sub-chamber from each of the Social Chamber, Environmental Chamber and Economic Chamber, provided further, that at least one of the members of the Committee shall be from: North America, South America and the Caribbean, Europe, Australia and Oceania, Asia and Africa.	
<b>THIRTY SECOND</b> The Committee shall resolve all the grievances submitted for its consideration, including those related with the performance of the Executive Director and/or Executive Committee. Such grievances shall be submitted in writing to the Committee within the forty-five days following the date on which the event that caused such grievance occurred. Any grievance filed by an Associate to the Committee shall be seconded by	





any two other members of the Association.

**THIRTY THIRD** The Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee shall submit for the consideration of the Board of Directors, all the grievances filed by the Associates. Within a term of forty days the Board shall prepare a draft of resolution to each grievance. Such draft shall be submitted for the approval of the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee. If the above mentioned resolution is approved by the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee, the Committee shall inform of its resolution to the plaintiff. In case the Committee is not satisfied with the solution proposed by the Board, the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee shall propose an alternative solution as soon as possible.

If the plaintiff is not satisfied with the solution proposed by the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee, the matter will be referred to the General Assembly for its resolution.

**THIRTY FOURTH** A quorum shall exist at any meeting of the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee only if all of its members are present. Resolutions of the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee shall be validly adopted by the affirmative vote of at least six of the acting members of the Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee present at the meeting. The Dispute and Accreditation Appeals Committee shall issue its final decision within a term of two months after the Committee received the grievance.

**The following new clauses within Title 6 shall be inserted in their place:**

**THIRTIETH** The Board shall establish a dispute resolution system with two main pathways: 1) disputes arising from complaints about compliance with FSC certification or accreditation decisions; and 2) disputes arising from administrative decisions. (such as policy and standards, trademark, membership, etc). The dispute resolution system shall incorporate the following attributes]:

- (i) Accessibility
- (ii) Timeliness
- (iii) Transparency
- (iv) Technical proficiency
- (v) Continuous improvement

**THIRTY FIRST** Disputes arising from FSC certification or accreditation decisions shall be resolved by the Secretariat under guidelines developed by the Board and shall include the following elements:

- (i) Encouragement for early intervention and informal resolution;
- (ii) An accessible complaints mechanism for resolution at the lowest level possible
- (iii) An appeals body made of relevant technical expertise, including expertise in dispute resolution
- (iv) Binding arbitration according to internationally accepted rules as a final step

**THIRTY SECOND** Disputes arising from administrative decisions shall be resolved by the Secretariat under guidelines approved by the Board of Directors.

<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.
----------------------------------	------------------------



<b>Motion to harmonize the rules for achieving quorum with that of decision making in the FSC system.</b>		<b>Statutory 5 AMENDED</b>
PROPOSED BY Name: FSC Board of Directors		
1) SECONDED BY: N/A	2) SECONDED BY: N/A	
<b>Statutory Motion:</b>  Quorum requirements shall be adjusted to ensure better balance between individual and organizational members, as currently is the case with decision making.		
<b>Changes to the Statutes (required):</b> Text to be deleted: Art. 17 e) for a quorum to exist at any Ordinary or Extraordinary meeting a quorum of at least 50% plus one vote of the members of each of the chambers referred to in the By-laws of the Association shall be required. In order to validly adopt resolutions at any Ordinary or Extraordinary Meeting, the affirmative vote of 66.6% of the voting power shall be required, in the understanding that the By-laws of the Association will provide for the manner in which such voting power will be calculated;		
Proposed text to be inserted: Art. 17 e) for a quorum to exist at any Ordinary or Extraordinary meeting a quorum of more than 50% of the voting power of the members of each of the chambers referred to in the By-laws of the Association shall be required. In order to validly adopt resolutions at any Ordinary or Extraordinary Meeting, the affirmative vote of 66.6% of the voting power present at the time of the vote shall be required. The By-laws of the Association will provide for the manner in which such voting power will be calculated;		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	



<b>Motion to strengthen the FSC Membership System</b>		<b>Statutory Policy 6</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: FSC Board of Directors		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> N/A	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> N/A	
<b>Policy Motion</b>  (includes components of 56, 57(d), 59)  Per the results of the Governance Review, the General Assembly agrees to strengthen the FSC membership system by creating Voting Members and Supporters, and by developing a common integrated Membership program.  1. FSC shall create a new category of non-voting FSC Supporters because of the strength and expertise they can bring to the FSC network. FSC Members shall retain full voting rights as currently described by FSC statutes.  2. It is the primary responsibility of NIs (where they exist) to recruit and manage FSC Members and Supporters. With exception of a fee described in #3 below, all membership revenue will accrue to the respective National Initiatives in which Members and Supporter reside. Where duly constituted NI's do not exist, fees from Members and Supporters will accrue to the Secretariat.  3. The Secretariat will develop a membership fee system in collaboration with NIs that appropriately reflects organizations or companies which have multiple entities or franchises across many countries.  4. The Secretariat will develop and maintain a centralized membership database and ensure the quality and consistency of the international component of membership services provided by NIs. The Secretariat will be paid an annual per member fee to cover the costs of these responsibilities. The fee will be mutually determined by FSC and designated representatives of the NI community.  5. The Secretariat will take measures to ensure the membership structure does not adversely impact the ability to achieve voting quorums.		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	



<b>Motion to Improve the Effectiveness of the FSC Board of Directors and FSC Senior Management Team</b>		<b>Statutory Policy 7</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
PROPOSED BY: Name: Board of Directors		
1) SECONDED BY: N/A	2) SECONDED BY: N/A	
<b>Policy Motion</b>		
Per the results of the Governance review process and consultation, the General Assembly agrees to changes in the emphasis and composition of the FSC Board of Directors, and their relationship to the senior executive staff.		
1. The Board should continue the process of becoming and exemplifying a Strategic board, rather than an Operational board. Technical committees should be retired in favor of strategic committees related to financial performance, executive management, and leadership development via a nominations recruitment process for future Board members and technical advisors.		
2. The Board may appoint, as needed, up to three Technical Advisors (as provided in the statutes) and the immediate past Board Chair for one-year non-voting terms. NI's shall be represented by one non-voting delegate for a one-year term. The size of the elected Board shall remain at nine (9) as provided in existing statutes.		
3. A Senior Executive Group (SEG) is created and represented by the executive directors of FSC's three companies and the Executive Director of the FSC-AC. As the chief operating officer, the Executive Director shall have primary reporting and accountability for performance of FSC's companies to the FSC Board of Directors.		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	



<b>Motion to review Principle 3</b>		<b>Policy 8</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Olindo Nastacuaz Organization: Federación de Centros Awá del Ecuador Chamber: Social South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Jaime Levy Organization: Fundación ALTROPICO Chamber: Social South	<b>3) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Bob Moody Organization: Shuswap Tribal Council Chamber: Social North	
<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Eric Palola Organization: National Wildlife Federation Chamber: Environmental North		
<b>Policy Motion</b> FSC will assure the active participation of Indigenous People in the Principles and Criteria Review Process.		
<b>Background:</b> <p>Since the founding of FSC, and especially in the last few years, indigenous organization* membership has steadily increased. At the same time, the realities of forest certification in indigenous territories has continually evolved, reflecting new opportunities and challenges that require a thorough analysis in order to insure the protection of indigenous rights and the effective inclusion of indigenous forest management operations in the benefits of FSC certification.</p> <p>In many parts of the world, especially in the South, the majority of the remaining native forests are contained within legally recognized indigenous territories. As external pressures on these forests increase, FSC can and should be an effective tool for helping forest peoples meet their present and future development needs, while contributing to the sustainable management of these globally important areas.</p> <p>This motion will also assure that FSC Principles and Criteria reflect international agreements referring to indigenous peoples, such as the 2007 United Nations Declaration on Indigenous Peoples Rights.</p> <p>*The term "indigenous" as used here includes afro-descendant, first nations, aboriginal and other terms used to describe native forest peoples in different parts of the world.</p>		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	



<b>Motion to ensure that the new P&amp;C do not assign unjust responsibilities to owners of tropical forests</b>		<b>Policy 9</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>		
Name: José Quaresma		
Organization: TRC		
Chamber: Economic South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Robert-Jan Dekker		Name: Marie Mbolo
Organisation: Dekker Hout Groep BV		Organization: Individual
Chamber: Economic North		Chamber: Social South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>		
<u>Criterion 8.2</u>		
The criterion 8.2e in which a forest manager is asked to make the costs, productivity, and efficiency of forest management publicly available requires a company to make confidential information public and does not serve the public monitoring of any other P&C requirement. It is therefore suggested to delete 8.2e.		
<u>Principle 4</u>		
The inclusion of the word 'local development' in principle 4 requires a clarification in the sense that it should be clear that a forest enterprise is only 1 actor in local development and as such cannot be held responsible for local development.		
<u>Criterion 4.7</u>		
The requirement in criterion 4.7 to promote balanced and appropriate nutrition for workers is only one way to fulfill the requirement of the foregoing text. As such this requirement is considered an indicator which should be determined by national working groups. It is therefore proposed to remove this sentence from the criterion.		
<u>Criterion 4.3</u>		
It is proposed to change criterion 4.3 to read as follows: Subcontracted employees shall have the same rights as directly employed workers when they are temporarily contracted for the same tasks as directly employed workers. For the subcontracting of specific activities as a whole 4.4 and 4.5 apply only. Rationale: As subcontracted personnel is not directly paid by the forest enterprise certain benefits that directly employed personnel enjoys, such as credit and saving facilities or corporate pension schemes and health insurances cannot be offered to subcontracted personnel.		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Not passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	



<b>Motion to ensure adequate wording of the FSC P&amp;C to make it applicable to every type and scale of forests in the world</b>		<b>Policy 10</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Luis Fernando Guedes Pinto Organization: IMAFLORA Chamber: Economic South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Jens Kanstrup Organization: Nepenthes Chamber: Economic North		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Martha Núñez Organization: Fundacion Ambiente y Sociedad Chamber: Social South
<p><b>Policy Motion:</b>  <b>Background:</b> the current FSC P&amp;C are not consistently applicable in every type and scale of forest, especially in small forest producers and local communities. Examples include the wording of Principle 3 that does not adequately allow for the possibility of having indigenous people managing their own forests, also criteria 6.3, 7.1 and 8.2 that are unnecessarily prescriptive (e.g.: 7.1 indicates what shall be in the Forest Management Plan, when that should be dependent on the type of forest, managed product, scale and intensity etc.). Experience has shown the current wording of the P&amp;C presents unnecessary barriers to the interpretation and fulfillment of the P&amp;C by some community forestry operations and very small forest management operations and constrains the development of more realistic indicators for different types of scale and intensity of forests by National Initiatives. This is not in line with the criteria 6.4 and 6.5 of the ISEAL Code of Good Practice for Standard Setting.</p> <p><b>Proposed:</b>  The General Assembly asks the coming P&amp;C Working Group to ensure that the revised P&amp;C will be adequately worded so that they are consistently applicable to every type and scale of forests in the world.</p> <p>The reviewing process shall ensure an active participation of a wide variety of stakeholders, including in particular representatives from organizations of indigenous peoples, small holders and communities from the North and the South.</p>		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	

<b>Motion to limit the FSC standard to the principles and criteria</b>		<b>Policy 11</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Jim Newberry Organization: Potlatch Corporation Chamber: Economic North		
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>	

<b>Motion to consider amending the membership eligibility criteria in group CoC certificates based on the socio economic conditions of each region</b>		<b>Policy 12</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Chad Davis and Ryan Temple Organization: Sustainable Northwest Chamber: Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>	



<p>Name: Scott Poynton          Organization: Tropical Forest Trust          Chamber: Economic North</p>	<p>Name: Ian Hanna          Organization: Northwest Natural Resource Group          Chamber: Environmental North</p>
<p><b>Policy Motion:</b>  <b>Background</b>          The FSC ensures in its Global Strategy the goal of equitable access to the benefits of FSC certification. The initial policies and guidelines in the Group Chain of Custody procedures have not afforded such access. Growth of Group Chain of Custody certificates, both within existing groups and the formation of new groups, has been slow worldwide. Additionally, Group Chain of Custody has not sufficiently fulfilled its role to promote FSC-certified products in the marketplace.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.4.1 of FSC-POL-40-002 states that it is the FSC’s intent to:          “support small enterprises in obtaining FSC Chain of Custody certificates, thus allowing them to participate in the processing and sale of FSC certified material and products....and to promote the purchase of such products and publicize their support for FSC.”</p> <p>The FSC drew up guidelines for initial eligibility criteria intended to be applied internationally to group Chain of Custody membership based on responses to a questionnaire regarding group certification. As stated in the Introduction of FSC-POL-40-002, the initial criteria were initially crafted for a trial period and defined as temporary in tenure and generic in structure in Paragraph 1.4.2:          “FSC has decided to adopt the temporary measure of setting a generic limit to the size of businesses that may be included in Group Chain of Custody schemes.”</p> <p>Also detailed in Paragraph 1.4.2, the FSC committed to continue to research these initial criteria and set the precedent that:          “...if a more appropriate definition for small enterprises is found, it will be introduced into the Group Chain of Custody policy.”</p> <p><b>Justification</b>          In the four years succeeding the initial adoption, the use of Group Chain of Custody certificates has been slow. This is due in part to the limited scope and the difficulty of adopting the initial eligibility criteria for membership at an international scale. Currently, there are relatively few Group Chain of Custody certificates worldwide. In the United States, only four Group Chain of Custody certificates are active, collectively providing certification for 52 member businesses.</p> <p>Specifically, the initial eligibility criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create inequities for small enterprises’ access to Group Chain of Custody certification and FSC market opportunities by attempting to apply one set of criteria for wide-ranging international socio-economic conditions</li> <li>• Discourage growth (economical and personnel) and/or FSC market presence for small enterprises meeting the initial criteria</li> <li>• Inhibit access to investigate and promote FSC markets</li> </ul> <p>These facts are exhibited by a lack of growth of both the membership size of each individual Group Chain of Custody certificate and the overall use of the Group Chain of Custody policy internationally to support the FSC and promote the purchase of FSC-certified products. There has only been one Group Chain of Custody certificate issued in the United States over the past 4 years, that growth counteracted by one dropped certificate. Membership totals of the current group certificates have remained level, after a brief growth period to establish</p>	





the group. Additional growth of business members has occurred at a similar rate to businesses exiting group certificates.

The initial criteria have not resulted in equitable access to Group Chain of Custody for small manufacturing enterprises internationally. Due to wide-ranging socio-economic conditions worldwide, the application of one set of arbitrary membership eligibility criteria is not feasible. Many international governmental policies detail various specific criteria for a small enterprise within a country or region. Adjudicating a business as a small enterprise is a complex process that cannot be reduced to a single generalization unless the businesses are located in a single geographic location with normal and prevailing fixed business expenses. Additionally, small community-based forest enterprises are too often excluded from the current criteria although these businesses generally maintain a high social ethic and provide multiple honest-wage jobs.

The initial criteria discourage growth for those small enterprises at the cusp of outgrowing either the employee or the annual turnover criterion. In many cases, these small enterprises are conducting a relatively low percentage of their business in FSC-certified products, often less than 5% of total sales. Under the current criteria, any incremental growth in sales or staffing would disqualify their continuance in Group Chain of Custody (although that growth may not be specific to FSC). If forced to maintain their own Chain of Custody certificate, such enterprises will drop FSC Chain of Custody due to the fact that additional costs and/or time associated with maintaining an independent Chain of Custody certificate is not currently reclaimed with FSC product sales. To hinder such companies with greatly increased costs precisely at a stage of being recognized in the FSC market as “real” suppliers would be detrimental to the FSC market in general.

The initial criteria also inhibit enterprises from investigating the viability of FSC markets. Businesses interested in promoting and carrying FSC products need the flexibility to investigate and grow the FSC market in their local place while receiving support from a Group Chain of Custody structure. This would allow a business the opportunity to concentrate on growing the market for FSC-certified products. Afterwards, these businesses should be able to be financially responsible for their independent certificate.

**Proposed:**

Eligibility criteria for Group Chain of Custody need to be revised to spur initial participation, to improve equity of access, and to allow for economic and capacity growth among small producers in an effort to develop and/or promote the expansion of FSC-certified products in the marketplace.

Due to the difficulty of defining “small enterprises” on an international scale, the FSC should consider revising eligibility criteria for Group CoC based on the realities within each region to address regional obstacles to Group CoCs.

<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.
----------------------------------	------------------------

<b>Motion to enlarge COC for pig iron</b>	<b>Policy 13</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>



<b>Motion to review FSC-STD-40-004 - Minor Components</b>	<b>Policy 14</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to pre-consumer reclaimed fiber material</b>	<b>Policy 15</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion related to minor components</b>	<b>Policy 16</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Ana Young Downey
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to review the labeling policy of FSC</b>	<b>Policy 17</b> <b>AMENDED</b>		
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>			
Name:	Luis Fernando Guedes Pinto		
Organization:	IMAFLOA		
Chamber:	Economic South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>		
Name:	Rubens Gomes	Name:	Lineu Siqueira Jr.
Organization:	OELA	Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Social South	Chamber:	Economic South



<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p><b>Background:</b> the current on-products labels statement (“<i>from well managed forests</i>”) is of difficult understanding and thus brings an unclear message about FSC concepts and benefits. The mixed sources statement is not understandable and sometimes is even suspicious bringing a bad image to FSC products. The labeling statements options are limited for promotional and marketing purposes what constraints the attractiveness of FSC products in the market place. Currently there’s little incentive for companies to increase their FSC sources in a product line. (<i>For instance, companies using 1% of FSC material together with 49% of recycle material is currently using the same label as a company using 90% of FSC material in a product line</i>).</p> <p><b>Proposed:</b>          FSC shall review its promotional and on-product labeling policies in order to efficiently communicate to consumers FSC concepts, goals and benefits, seeking to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increase the attractiveness of FSC products in the market place;</li> <li>- Develop systems that allow greater transparency of the actual content of labeled products.</li> </ul>	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by written ballot: 78.69% YES; 21.31% NO.

<b>PROPOSED REVISIONS TO FSC- TMK-50-201 (VERSION 1-0) EN - FSC REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PROMOTIONAL USE OF THE FSC TRADEMARKS BY FSC CERTIFICATE HOLDERS AND NON-CERTIFIED COMMERCIAL</b>		<b>Policy 18</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>		
Name: Caroline Pufalt Organization: SIERRA CLUB Chamber: Environmental North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Dr. Robert Hrubes Organization: Scientific Certification Systems Chamber: Economic North		Name: Richard Donovan Organization: Rainforest Alliance Chamber: Economic North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>		
The FSC and its Trademark Service Providers are urged to improve and increase their enforcement of the FSC’s policies on usage of the FSC trademarks in order to prevent misuse of the trademarks and to reduce the risk to the FSC brand.		
To enhance the ability of the FSC to prevent trademark misuse, FSC-TMK-50-201 (Version 1-0) is amended as follows. As soon as these amendments are adopted, we urge the FSC to immediately inform all its Trademark Service Providers of the revised policy, and to direct them to inform all certificate-holders as soon as possible of these revisions.		
Proposed deletions appear as <del>strike through</del> text. Proposed additions appear as <b>BOLD UPPER CASE</b> text. Please note: Paragraph 4.2 is NEW language, not a replacement for the existing paragraph. Thus, the existing 4.2 would become 4.3, and all following paragraphs in Section 4, as well as references to them elsewhere in the text, would be re-numbered accordingly.		
<b>4.2 TRADEMARK USERS WHO USE FSC TRADEMARKS IN OFF-PRODUCT PROMOTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO BROCHURES, WEBSITES, PROMOTIONAL GIFTS, SIGNS, POSTERS AND MERCHANDISING DISPLAYS, SHALL ACCOMPANY SUCH USE WITH A STATEMENT ACCURATELY DESCRIBING THE NATURE OF THE TRADEMARK USER’S ASSOCIATION WITH THE FSC, AND IF THE</b>		



<p><b>TRADEMARK USER IS A SELLER OF FSC-CERTIFIED FOREST PRODUCTS OR MATERIALS, A STATEMENT ACCURATELY DESCRIBING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE TRADEMARK USER CARRIES OR DOES NOT CARRY SUCH PRODUCTS OR MATERIALS.</b></p> <p><b>NOTE: SUCH STATEMENT SHALL APPEAR IN A FORMAT AND FONT SIZE THAT IS READILY VISIBLE AND LEGIBLE IN COMPARISON TO THAT OF THE FSC TRADEMARKS, AND IS IN REASONABLE PROXIMITY TO THE TRADEMARKS.</b></p> <p>12.2 The FSC trademarks shall not be used at the top of letterhead templates <b>OR IN ANY LOCATION IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO A COMPANY LOGO UNLESS ALL PRODUCTS PRODUCED BY THE CERTIFIED OPERATION ARE FSC-CERTIFIED.</b></p>	
<p><b>Results:</b> <b>Not passed</b></p>	<p>Vote by show of cards.</p>

<p><b>Community labeling</b></p>		<p><b>Policy 19</b> <b>AMENDED</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b>          Name: María Ofelia Arboleda Obando          Organization: Individual          Chamber: Environmental South</p>		
<p><b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: Rubens Gomes          Organisation: Oficina Escola de Lutheria da Amazônia          Chamber: Social South</p>		<p><b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: Elisa Pardo          Organization: Individual          Chamber: Social North</p>
<p><b>Policy Motion:</b></p> <p>In general, it has been found that FSC certification has the same level of demand for all types of operations, being these forest enterprises or community forestry operations. Regarding this, some communities in the South:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Are re-thinking about the need for certification, as normally certified markets do not differentiate between certified products from communities and other products.</li> <li>-Certification is still costly. Volumes are small and there is not market for such small volumes. Marketing is deficient and is difficult for all parties to make agreements.</li> <li>-In conclusion, the market for community products (even the cosmetic industry) is still undeveloped, unstable and demand small volumes.</li> </ul> <p><b>Proposed:</b>          FSC shall develop a strategy to differentiate community forest products* from other products, that allow a phrase like 'COMMUNITY ORIGIN PRODUCT', in the claim on the FSC product. The strategy shall include all relevant aspects of Chain of Custody and labeling to guarantee product traceability.</p> <p>*See definition of community forest management approved by FSC</p>		
<p><b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b></p>	<p>Vote by written ballot: 86.4 % YES, 13.6 % NO.</p>	

<p><b>Motion to facilitate the process for use of the FSC logo in the graphic arts sector</b></p>	<p><b>Policy 20</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b></p>	



Name:	Jordi Miralles, Verónica Serrano
Organization:	Fundació Terra
Chamber:	Environmental North
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to marketing and protection of the FSC mark</b>	<b>Policy 21</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to change the controlled wood standard</b>	<b>Policy 22</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the Controlled Wood system</b>	<b>Policy 23 (merged with motion 25) AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Judy Rodrigues	
Organization: Greenpeace International	
Chamber: Northern Environmental	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Sofie Beckham	Name: Gemma Boetekees
Organization: IKEA	Organization: ICCO
Chamber: Economic North	Chamber: Social North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>Recognizing the aspiration of the FSC members to facilitate greater uptake of FSC certification, including such considerations as those of communities and indigenous peoples, a comprehensive evaluation of the Controlled Wood (CW) system shall be undertaken that includes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An immediate monitoring, evaluation, and reporting system is put in place to review the implementation of the currently valid Controlled Wood system, taking into account the immediate changes in motion 24.</li> <li>2. By the next General Assembly, an evaluation of at least the following three options is performed, including development of recommendations for a way forward: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Eliminating CW completely from the FSC system</li> <li>ii. Developing further the existing CW standard system so that they are adequately linked to stronger uptake of FSC certification throughout the supply chain, i.e. within a modular</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	



	<p>approach program</p> <p>iii. Developing an alternative to CW that creates stronger uptake of FSC certification and ensures better market access for forest communities</p>
<p><b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b></p>	<p>Vote by show of cards.</p>

<b>Improving the implementation of the Controlled Wood Standard</b>	<b>Policy 24</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
<p>Name: Jens Holm Kanstrup          Organisation: Nepenthes          Chamber: Environmental North</p>	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
<p>Name: Judy Rodrigues          Organisation: Greenpeace International          Chamber: Environmental North</p>	<p>Name: Sean Cadman          Organisation: The Wilderness Society          Chamber: Environmental North</p>
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>Recognising the aspiration of FSC members in motion 23, immediate measures will be implemented to strengthen the current FSC Controlled Wood system, including but not limited to:</p>	
<b>Guidance</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FSC will provide guidance, training, and support to National Initiatives conducting national or sub-national specific risk assessments, acknowledging that this will require financing and resources;</li> <li>In order to provide transparency, FSC will provide guidance on appropriate stakeholder consultation, commensurate with the size and intensity of the Controlled Wood source;</li> <li>To improve transparency, FSC will provide for the compilation and ongoing maintenance of a global database of risk assessments for all Controlled Wood categories as soon as possible;</li> <li>FSC will provide guidance and clarification on the interpretation and implementation of the High Conservation Value Forests (HCVF) concept within the Controlled Wood standard.</li> </ul>	
<b>Implementation</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FSC will ensure that existing requirements for proof of origin are properly implemented by Certification Bodies.</li> <li>FSC will ensure transparency in the process of risk assessments and their public availability, whilst maintaining supply chain confidentiality. Where there are multiple or significant complaints regarding Controlled Wood risk assessment a request can be made to FSC to instruct the Certification Body to release the full risk assessment to the affected stakeholders on a confidential basis.</li> <li>The company verification program may not be used when there are multiple and significant complaints made to FSC-IC regarding an FMU operation in areas of unspecified risk. Instead, the FMU must be independently certified according to FSC-STD-30-010.</li> <li>Where there is unspecified risk and where these areas have not been determined at the Annex 2 level by the National Initiative, FSC must ensure the implementation of field verification audits of HCVF areas are equally rigorous as for a full certification audit. A guidance note from FSC IC will be prepared for Certification Bodies.</li> </ul>	



<b>Results:</b> Passed	Vote by show of cards.
---------------------------	------------------------

<b>Motion regarding amendment of FSC-STD-40-005 and FSC-STD-30-010</b>	<b>Policy 25</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Ana Young Downey
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Original Motion 25 withdrawn / merged with Motion 23</b>

<b>Motion to revise the Controlled Wood Standard</b>	<b>Policy 26</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Ana Young Downey
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to address the needs of communities and small forest owners in the global North and South</b>	<b>Policy 27</b>		
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>			
Name:	Gemma Boetekees		
Organisation:	ICCO		
Chamber:	Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>		
Name:	Margaretha Renstrom	Name:	Jeff Howe
Organisation:	WWF	Organisation:	Dovetail Partners Inc.
Chamber:	Environmental North	Chamber:	Environmental North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>			
<b>Background</b>			
<p>The FSC BoD states in its Global Strategy the goal of equitable access to the benefits of the FSC systems. Many individuals and organisations have expressed concern that the implementation of this goal is very slow. In May 2008 an international meeting was arranged by FSC IC, WWF International and ICCO with the objective to identify the needs as well as to develop proposals for prioritized activities for the increased certification by small forest owners and communities. The meeting was attended by 60 participants from over 20 countries with experience on working with small forest owners and communities from over 20 countries. The relevance of certified smallholders to ensure increased FSC-labelled products by pulp and paper producers was particularly highlighted. As an outcome of the meeting it was decided that a package motion would be developed for the FSC General Assembly 2008.</p> <p>The meeting identified two overriding problem areas that have globally hindered the certification of small holders and community forests. These areas are 1) Cost/benefit relation – certification of smallholders in North and South is too costly and too complicated in relation to the economic, social and environmental benefits realised, and 2) Severe gaps in communication and capacity building exists.</p>			
<b>Proposed:</b>			
The participants of the Lisbon meeting, represented by the nominator and seconders of this motion, ask the FSC			



<p>GA to prioritise the setting up a support unit within FSC (Global Strategy goals 2c-e) that addresses the needs of communities and small forest owners in the global North and South.          The unit shall ensure that the cost/benefit relationship is enhanced for small forest owners and communities in the global North and South, by:          Exploration of mechanisms fit for smallholders to facilitate compliance with the standards and accreditation processes, such as i) develop improved requirements on the level of assessment and reporting, appropriate to smallholders and communities, ii) engage contractors to the system/certification who would provide a direct link to the markets and bring best forest management practices (and certification) to “new” non-certified forests owners, iii) promote the concept of assessing shared compliance within the group at landscape level,          Developing mechanisms for increased benefits of certification, such as i) enhanced local market penetration of FSC labelled products, ii) possibilities for Payments for Environmental Services, suitable for smallholders, iii) pilot a fair-trade project based on existing generic standards of FLO and FSC SLIMF, iv) enhance market connection and facilitate better access to demand and supply data for interested businesses, v) promote the social aspects of FSC-certification of small-holders, communities, NTFPs and Environmental Services provision in order to tap into the need of retailers and business to show Corporate Social Responsibility, vi) create a social fund that can provide support to relevant activities          The unit shall ensure that adequate, user-friendly information material is readily available and that capacity building and information sharing is implemented, by e.g.:          Creating a user-friendly one-stop web-shop and a help desk targeting all interested SLIMF stakeholders (local governments, CBs, forest owners associations, NGOs, NIs etc. etc).          Developing user-friendly information material for a one-stop shop. This would include translation service, generic templates and general information, e.g. HCVs, biodiversity, simple monitoring methodologies; management planning, environmental and social impacts assessments, shared compliance across certification group members at a landscape level, cases studies, etc.          Co-ordinating collaboration and information-sharing between FSC National Initiatives and other national/regional relevant organisations (land owner associations, co-operatives, communities) by facilitation information exchange, developing list-servers, seminars, in person meetings.          Providing training for all relevant stakeholders on e.g. the interpretation of the FSC principles, criteria, indicators and special regulations for smallholders and in making an optimal use of these.</p>	
<p><b>Results:</b>  <b>Passed</b></p>	<p>Vote by show of cards.</p>

<p><b>Motion to develop and implement a strategy to increase the certification in the tropics</b></p>		<p><b>Policy 28</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b>          Name: Luis Fernando Guedes Pinto          Organization: IMAFLORA          Chamber: Economic South</p>		
<p><b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: Rubens Gomes          Organization: OELA          Chamber: Social South</p>		<p><b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: Peter Dam          Organization: Individual          Chamber: Social South</p>





<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p><b>Background:</b> 60 million of the poorest people in the world depend on forest for livelihood and the great majority of it lives in the tropics. Deforestation of tropical forests is increasing in very high rates and is one of the main causes of green house gases emissions in the world. Although 42% of the total forest area in the world is tropical, and this is where at least 70% of the world's biodiversity is concentrated, tropical and sub-tropical forests respond only for 13% of the FSC's certified area in the world. We, in FSC, should not feel comfortable with these facts and figures and therefore should drive efforts and resources to increase the certification in the tropics, where FSC is most needed.</p> <p><b>Proposed:</b> FSC shall recognize its role as an important and effective mechanism to protect tropical native forests, combat deforestation and improve livelihood of the poorest people in the world who depends directly on forests for subsistence. Within a period of 1 year, the FSC shall develop a strategy with clear actions, measurable goals and indicators, budget and source of funds to increase the certification in the tropics, in particular for community and SLIMF operations. The strategy should also cover the work of the proposed support unit for communities and small forest owners, ensuring this unit puts the emphasis of their work on support for communities and small forest owners in the global South.</p>	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Motion to reduce costs to SLIMF certification by ending the need for translation of public summaries for SLIMF operations</b>		<b>Policy 29</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>		
Name:	Luis Fernando Guedes Pinto	
Organization:	IMAFLOA	
Chamber:	Economic South	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name:	Richard Donovan	Name: Rubens Gomes
Organization:	Rainforest Alliance – SmartWood	Organization: OELA
Chamber:	Economic North	Chamber: Social South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>		
<p>Background: Public summaries in FSC languages are fundamental to the transparency of the system. However, SLIMF operations are more relevant to local and national stakeholders. Besides, translation of public summaries represents additional costs to the certification of already disadvantaged operations of the south.</p> <p><b>Proposed:</b> FSC shall review the FSC-STD-20-009 in order to eliminate the requirement of having the public summaries of SLIMF operations in one of the official languages of FSC. To be consistent with the real objective of the public summary (which is to inform the certification decisions to affected stakeholders) a more appropriate requirement would be to have public summaries in the official language of the country where the FMU is based.</p>		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	

<b>Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN) -1</b>	<b>Policy 30</b>
--	------------------



<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Carlos Peralta
Organization:	COATLAHL
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn / merged with motion 31 and 32</b>

<b>Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN) – 2</b>	<b>Policy 31</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Carlos Peralta
Organization:	COATLAHL
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn / merged with motion 30 and 32</b>

<b>Motion to reformulate the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria (FSC-STD-01-003 (Version 1-0) EN – 3</b>	<b>Policy 32 (merged with motions 30 and 31) AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Carlos Peralta	
Organisation: COATLAHL	
Chamber: Economic South	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Jens Kanstrup	Name: Peter Feilberg
Organisation: Nepenthes	Organisation: NEPCon
Chamber: Economic North	Chamber: Economic North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>With this motion the membership asks the FSC to modify two aspects of the eligibility criteria (FSC-STD-01-003) to define Small and Low Intensity Managed Forests (SLIMF).</p> <p>The first proposal is to add a new point to Section 2 “International criteria and maximum threshold values” small” Forest Management Units in the SLIMF Eligibility Criteria to use production area as a variable in defining the area of a forest (OMF).</p> <p>Present situation: The present criteria for defining Small Forest Management Units is the total area of the Forest Management Unit.</p> <p>Proposed addition to section 2 of the SLIMF eligibility criteria: 2.5 The area of a small forest shall be defined in relation to the production forest area. This means that permanent protection areas and areas with other uses within the forest management unit that are clearly indicated in the Management Plan and on the ground will not be considered when calculating the size of the unit that is applying to be classified as a SLIMF.</p> <p>The second proposal is as follows: The FSC shall revise section 3.1 of FSC-STD-01-003 (SLIMF eligibility criteria) and provide eligibility criteria that are appropriate in all regions in the world.</p>	



<p>The current wording of section 3.1 of FSC-STD-01-003 (SLIMF eligibility criteria) is as follows:          3.1 Forest management units may be classed as SLIMF units when:</p> <p>a) the rate of harvesting is less than 20% of the mean annual increment (MAI) within the total production forest area of the unit, AND</p> <p>b) EITHER the annual harvest from the total production forest area is less than 5000 cubic metres,</p> <p>c) OR the average annual harvest from the total production forest is less than 5000 m3 / year during the period of validity of the certificate as verified by harvest reports and surveillance audits.</p> <p>Time for implementation. 6 months from the date of passing this motion.</p>	
<p><b>Results:</b>  <b>Passed</b></p>	<p>Vote by show of cards.</p>

<p><b>Review and update the FSC disputes process</b></p>		<p><b>Policy 33</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b>          Name: Kevin O’Grady          Organization: Timberland Limited Individual member          Chamber: Northern Economic</p>		
<p><b>Results:</b></p>	<p><b>Motion withdrawn</b></p>	

<p><b>Speeding up dispute resolution processes</b></p>		<p><b>Policy 34</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b>          Name: Uwe Sayer          Organization: Individual          Chamber: Environmental North</p>		
<p><b>Results:</b></p>	<p><b>Motion withdrawn</b></p>	

<p><b>Motion to implement a formal procedure for FSC standard setting that will allow for realistic implementation of standards</b></p>		<p><b>Policy 35</b></p>
<p><b>PROPOSED BY:</b>          Name: Gerrit Marais          Organization: SGS - South Africa (Pty) Ltd          Chamber: Economic South</p>		
<p><b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: David Rhodes          Organization: New Zealand Forest Owners Association          Chamber: Economic North</p>	<p><b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>          Name: Dr. José P. García De León          Organization: Compania Forestal Oriental S.A          Chamber: Economic South</p>	
<p><b>Policy Motion:</b>          Revise the FSC Procedures (FSC-PRO-01-001 and FSC-PRO-01-002) for Policy and Standard Setting to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a clear protocol for the development of any Policy and Standard.</li> <li>• Ensure that the implementation of FSC standards takes place in a realistic and practical manner so</li> </ul>		



that the objectives of the standard and thus of FSC, can be consistently met with least confusion.

- Ensure Policy and Standards are practical to apply and create predictability, stability and consistency.

**Method:**

A Chamber balanced or independent Working Group is established to develop and then ensure the implementation and effective compliance with a “**Revised FSC Procedure (FSC-PRO-01-001 and FSC-PRO-01-002) for Policy and Standard Setting**”.

Policy, standards, guidance documents, procedures, processes and advice notes (i.e. any FSC document that prescribes any form of standard) will be subject to this Process. This includes the adoption of third party Policy and Standards.

**Elements to be Included**

In particular the working group will consider, but not be limited to, the following:

1. Revision of **FSC-PRO-01-001 and FSC-PRO-01-002** prescribing the role and the process for setting FSC Policy and Standards including:
  - Publication of the *intent* to form or review a Policy, to form a policy working group or expert group including the reason for forming the group and the Terms of Reference (ToR) for such a group.
  - A process of discussion and consensus between the chambers and approval by the FSC Board of Directors
  - Publication of a complete and clear process and expectations of timeline including the timetable that the process will work to; the length of time allowed for consultation; the deadlines for publication of the drafts, reports, submissions, responses and the final decisions.
  - Consultation of the outputs of the process and the application of the principles of Natural Justice i.e. the response to submissions and rights of stakeholders to be heard.
  - A process to formally peer review the outputs e.g. in the case of science based policy.
2. Development of Terms for Policy and Standard Development Working Groups, including:
  - The standing of that group, e.g. policy development or advisory to the Board, shall be published.
  - The methods of forming that group e.g. when a group needs to be chamber balanced, or recognized experts or both. This would include any group formed to peer review the output of a policy working group or expert group.
  - The publication of the working group, expert group or peer review group composition shall be made prior to the work commencing.
  - A process will exist to identify and manage conflicts of interest and other issues that may disbar a potential review (or peer review) group member, including a process for stakeholders to raise conflict of interest concerns.
3. Establishment of Timeframes:
  - Policy and Standards will be revised every 3 years with a fixed standard implementation date of 1 January of every third year. If this 3 year cycle is not acceptable or practicable, standards will be revised with a standard implementation date and it is proposed that this be 1 January of every year for all standards
  - Policy and Standards will be finalized to allow a transition period of at least 6 months, allowing sufficient time for translations, training and adjustment of systems with CBs and certificate holders before the standard becomes valid.
  - Policy and Standards will allow sufficient time (at least 3 months) for stakeholder consultation before the standard is finalized.
4. Improved Communication of Policy and Standards, including;
  - Translation of documents into the local language;
  - Movement of public consultation for the interest groups in the country;



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Systematization of the results of the consultation and sent to FSC-IC in the official language of the FSC.</li> </ul>	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by written ballot: 73.2% YES, 26.8% NO.

<b>Motion to public consultation of guidance documents, procedures and advice notes via the FSC web page</b>	<b>Policy 36</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Jose P. Garcia de Leon, Gerente de desarrollo técnico
Organization:	Compañía Forestal Oriental S.A
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion for verification of the implementation of new requirements and criteria</b>	<b>Policy 37</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Jose P. Garcia de Leon, Gerente de desarrollo técnico
Organisation:	Compañía Forestal Oriental S.A
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to have a single format for public summaries of forest management assessments</b>	<b>Policy 38</b>		
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>			
Name:	Andrés Venegas S.		
Organization:	Individual		
Chamber:	Social South		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>		
Name:	Ana Young Downey	Name:	Luís Astorga Schneider
Organization:	Individual	Organisation:	Agrupación de Ingenieros Forestales por el Bosque Nativo
Chamber:	Economic South	Chamber:	Social South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>			
This motion seeks to have the public summaries of forest management assessments published in the same format.			
The proposal is that the Fuss's Policy and Standards Unit (FSC PSU) should develop a standard format to be used by all the certification bodies, and that the contents should be easily understood by stakeholders			
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.		



<b>Motion on Inclusion of Labor in FSC</b>		<b>Policy 39</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Gisbert Schlemmer Organisation: IG Metall Chamber: Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Hubertus Van Hensberg Organization: Individual Chamber: Economic North	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Hannah Scrase Organization: FERN Chamber: Environmental North	
<b>3) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Scott Poynton Organization: Tropical Forest Trust Chamber: Economic North		
<b>Policy Motion:</b>  The FSC is requested to develop a mechanism to incorporate the core ILO conventions for all FM certificates immediately (C87, C98, C29, C105, C100, C111 and C138).  FSC "Policy of Association" shall incorporate the requirement that all companies that have a chain of custody or any other certificate shall comply with the core ILO conventions. Verified failure to comply with such conventions shall result in termination of the association and all related rights to claims of conformance with FSC requirements, e.g. the loss of all certificates.		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	

<b>FSC FM certificates not to be issued before publication of public summary reports</b>		<b>Policy 40</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Uwe Sayer Organization: Individual Chamber: Environmental North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Michal Rezek Organization: Friends of the Earth Czech Republic Chamber: Environmental North	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Henry Sauvagnat Organization: Greenfield SAS Chamber: Economic North	
<b>Policy Motion:</b>  The General Assembly request the organization to change the existing certification regulations in a way, that FM-certificates can only be issued, if the public summary report is available and published at the same time. No FM-certificate should be considered valid if the public summary report does not deliver information on certification decisions at the same time. This includes the process of reissuing certificates as well as reinstalling suspended certificates. Public summary reports has to be send also to NIs (endorsed Working Groups and contact persons) in the related country (if existing) at the same time.		



<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.
----------------------------------	------------------------

<b>Chemical derogation process</b>	<b>Policy 41</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Jim Newberry Organization: Potlatch Corporation Chamber: Economic North	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion for preparation of stakeholders for the consultation process</b>	<b>Policy 42</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Rubens Gomes Organization: OELA Chamber: Social South	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Chris van Dam Organization: Individual Chamber: Social South	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Grant Rosoman Organization: Greenpeace New Zealand Chamber: Environmental North
<b>3) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Damian Villacres Organization: Individual member Chamber: Social South	
<b>Policy Motion:</b> The FSC will include in its accreditation standards a requirement for the preparation of stakeholders for the consultation process. This will be mandatory in medium and large operations. This process shall be carried out by the National Initiatives, if there is willingness of the NI to provide the service. In the absence of an NI in the country, FSC-IC will approve the organization that will carry out the preparation of stakeholders.	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Not passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>FSC Engagement in Forest-based Carbon</b>	<b>Policy 43</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Bruce Cabarle Organisation: WWF US Chamber: Environmental	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Fran Price Organisation: The Nature Conservancy Chamber: Environmental Email address: fprice@TNC.org	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Ian Hanna Organisation: Northwest Natural Resource Group Chamber: Environmental Email address: <a href="mailto:ian@nrrg.org">ian@nrrg.org</a>
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	



FSC acknowledges that forests can play important roles in addressing climate change by reducing or preventing greenhouse gas emissions and/or increasing carbon sequestration in situations where business as usual management practices are improved.

FSC further acknowledges that there are potential risks associated with developing forest carbon projects and markets, including threats such as forest conversion, forest degradation (e.g. expansion of industrial logging in natural forests), and the violation of indigenous peoples' rights.

FSC shall explore the role that the Principles & Criteria, governance, accreditation, policy development and forest certification can play in frameworks to mitigate climate change by maintaining and/or increasing carbon stocks.

Options shall be examined, focusing on their risks and opportunities, including those stated above. Additional options that can be considered include:

- 1) Participating in efforts focused on establishing real and verifiable emission reductions from forest protection and improved forest management projects, and research into how FSC certified management practices could maintain and/or increase forest carbon sequestration;
- 2) Exploring FSC Principles & Criteria and FSC certification in climate change mitigation strategies under UNFCCC negotiations, including those aimed at reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD);
- 3) Exploring alignment or partnership with voluntary carbon standards or program design protocols;
- 4) Engaging voluntary and regulatory carbon finance mechanisms to recognize FSC certification as an effective tool to ensure environmental and social co-benefits (e.g. HCVF, restrictions on conversion of natural forests, free prior and informed consent, etc.);
- 5) Exploring the development of guidelines and cost models to help FSC certificate holders, including small holders, Indigenous-, and community-managed forests, access revenue sources for maintaining or enhancing carbon sequestration; and,
- 6) Exploring the capacity of the Chain of Custody system to facilitate determination of product-level carbon footprinting – including net carbon emissions from management, processing, and transport of wood products

FSC shall establish a sub-chamber balanced Working Group of FSC members to consider forest carbon and make recommendations to the FSC network, including a review of the FSC Statement: 'Forests & Climate Change', contingent upon securing additional funding explicitly for these activities.

<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.
----------------------------------	------------------------

Motion for FSC Certification of Forest Conservation and Forest Protected Areas		Policy 44
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>		
Name: Grant Rosoman		
Organization: Greenpeace New Zealand		
Chamber: Environmental North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>	
Name: Martha Núñez	Name: Richard Donovan	
Organization: Fundación Ambiente y Sociedad	Organization: Rainforest Alliance	
Chamber: Social South	Chamber: Economic North	
	<b>3) SECONDED BY:</b>	
	Name: Shaun McCartney	





	Organization: York Timber Organization Chamber: Economic South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>FSC will prioritize the development of procedures, guidance and system (including accreditation) adaptations to support the FSC certification of forest conservation and forest protected areas. This will include particular consideration of indigenous peoples' rights, local community benefit and co-management, and the monitoring of forest carbon and other ecosystem services.</p> <p>Within 12 months FSC carries out a feasibility assessment for the viability of offering an adapted certification and accreditation system for forest conservation and forest protected areas.</p> <p>a) If viability is positive, within a further two years the FSC develops generic standards, protocols, procedures and guidance to support and encourage the certification of forest conservation and forest protected areas.</p>	
<b>Results:</b> Passed	Vote by paper ballot: 74.2 % YES; 25.8 % NO.

<b>Publication of reports from ASI surveillance audits</b>	<b>Policy 45</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Uwe Sayer, Organization: Individual Chamber: Environmental North	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Michal Rezek Organization: Friends of the Earth Czech Republic Chamber: Environmental North	Name: Henry Sauvagnat Organization: Greenfield SAS Chamber: Economic North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>The General Assembly request the organization to change the existing accreditation regulations for certification bodies that undertake forest management inspection so that ASI decisions on the suspension or maintenance of accreditation can only be made public when a report (for example the public summary report) is available and published at the same time.</p>	
<b>Results:</b> Passed	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Motion to move ASI decision making from the FSC Executive Director to ASI Director</b>	<b>Policy 46</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Anna Jenkins Organization: Individual Member Chamber: Environmental North	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to manage potential conflicts of interest in certification</b>	<b>Policy 47</b>
--	------------------



<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Jonas Rudberg
Organization:	Swedish Society for Nature Conservation (SSNC)
Chamber:	North Environmental
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion for access to the public summaries of forest management assessments</b>	<b>Policy 48</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Andrés Venegas S.
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Social South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to strengthen quality control of certifications</b>	<b>Policy 49</b> <b>AMENDED</b>		
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>			
Name:	Gordon Pfetscher		
Organization:	Woodland Trust		
Chamber:	Environment North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>		
Name:	Martha Nunez	Name:	Scott Poynton
Organization:	Fundacion ambiente y sociedad	Organization:	Tropical Forest Trust
Chamber:	Social South	Chamber:	Economic Chamber North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>			
ASI shall be sufficiently staffed and funded to fully implement its accreditation standards and systems. ASI shall establish the authority to interact directly with any FSC certificate holders (where a transparent risk assessment indicates it is necessary) to assess the conformity of a certificate to the certification standard. ASI shall establish a procedure to ensure the timely suspension or withdrawal of any FSC certificates as a result of this process.			
<b>Results:</b>	Vote by show of cards.		
<b>Passed</b>			

<b>Motion to enhance the performance of FSC-accredited certification bodies</b>	<b>Policy 50</b> <b>AMENDED</b>		
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>			
Name:	Jens Holm Kanstrup		
Organisation:	Nepenthes		
Chamber:	Environmental North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>		
Name:	Grant Rosoman	Name:	Scott Poynton
Organization:	Greenpeace International	Organization:	Tropical Forest Trust
Chamber:	Environmental North	Chamber:	Economic Chamber North
<b>Proposed text:</b>			



The membership of the FSC hereby urges the FSC IC and ASI to give the highest possible priority to improving the field performance of FSC accredited certification bodies (CBs).

In order to achieve this the membership hereby decides that:

- The ASI shall plan the audits of the CBs based on an assessment of the performance of the individual CB. The performance assessment will include but is not restricted to: a high number of CARs relative to the number of certificates, the number of outstanding Major CARs, and the breadth and depth of stakeholder consultation. Accreditation audit frequency shall be determined in such a way that poor performing CBs will require a significantly higher audit frequency. The ASI shall develop clear and transparent performance criteria to determine audit frequency of CBs, with the results being published on ASI's homepage.
- As a part of their annual monitoring of CBs, ASI shall perform a substantial number of spot, random or short notice audits focused on CBs that have received Major CARs concerning their field performance. The frequency of occurrence of these spot, random and short notice audits shall be continually updated and published on the ASI's homepage.
- The FSC oversees the development and implementation of a timely, transparent and fair process for the suspension of accredited CBs with input from the FSC membership. The suspension system shall be published on the ASI website
- FSC prioritizes the thorough revision of FSC-STD-20-002 [*Structure and Content of Forest Stewardship Standards*] to address the ambiguity and lack of clarity of the use/issuing of CARs, including the thresholds for Major and Minor, and the justification and procedure for downgrading or upgrading CARs.
- FSC/ASI resumes plans for the development of a comprehensive and affordable training programme in FSC standards for CB and ASI auditors, FSC staff, NGOs and consultants that include continuous professional development (CPD). This should include prioritizing the development of the Lead Auditor training standards, training modules and the Lead Auditor Register. The plans will include consultation with CBs and the FSC network.

The above changes shall be put in place by 1<sup>st</sup> January 2010

<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.
----------------------------------	------------------------

<b>Motion to improve CB performance – Stakeholder consultation</b>		<b>Policy 51</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Judy Rodrigues Organization: Greenpeace International Chamber: Northern Environmental		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Saskia Ozinga Organization: FERN Chamber: Environmental North	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Scott Poynton Organization: Tropical Forest Trust Chamber: Economic North	
<b>Policy Motion:</b> FSC develops guidance on stakeholder consultation that includes: - the required facilitation and stakeholder consultation skills for CB and ASI auditors, - different consultation requirements and acceptable levels of time spent by CBs or forest/plantation managers,		



in relation scale and type of operation, level of intensity and impact, and different cultural settings, - facilitation of stakeholder participation.	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Independence of certifying bodies</b>		<b>Policy 52</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Jim Newberry Organization: Potlatch Corporation Chamber: Economic North		
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>	

<b>Motion to prohibit deceptive marketing practices by Certifying Bodies</b>		<b>Policy 53</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: William Hayward Organization: Hayward Lumber Chamber: Economic North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Richard Donovan Organization: Rainforest Alliance Chamber: Economic North		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Robert Hrubes Organization: Scientific Certification Systems Chamber: Economic North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>  Direct FSC International to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prohibit ASI-accredited Certifying Bodies from falsely promoting less rigorous competing standards as equivalent to the forest management and chain-of-custody standards of FSC.</li> <li>Require that promotional media and public communications of ASI-accredited Certifying Bodies clearly and accurately differentiate FSC standards relative to less rigorous competing forest certification and chain-of-custody schemes.</li> <li>Develop in-house research capacity to maintain current comparisons of forest management and chain-of-custody standards between FSC and leading competing schemes <u>AND</u> provide all Certifying Bodies with annual updates of these comparisons.</li> <li>Direct ASI to assist in enforcing this policy by including review of Certifying Bodies' promotional media in annual accreditation audits.</li> </ol>		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by paper ballot: 83.2 % YES; 16.8 % NO.	

<b>Translation of ASI reports</b>		<b>Policy 54</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Elisa Pardo Vegezzi		



Organization: Individual		
Chamber: Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>	
Name: Doralice Ortiz	Name: Olindo Nastacuaz	
Organization: Corporacion Aldea Global	Organization: Federacion de Centros Awa del Ecuador	
Chamber: Social South	Chamber: Social South	
<b>Policy Motion:</b>		
Public summary reports of ASI audits related to controversial operations		
1 Shall contain an annex in the local language		
2 That reflects the main audit findings to give response to concerns by stakeholders		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by paper ballot: 86.3% YES; 13.7 % NO.	

<b>Motion for access to summaries of Forest Management Plans</b>	<b>Policy 55</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Andrés Venegas S.	
Organization: Individual	
Chamber: Social South	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Mechanisms for sharing of resources in the network</b>	<b>Policy 56</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Uwe Sayer,	
Organization: Individual	
Chamber: Environmental North	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Henry Sauvagnat	Name: Michal Rezek
Organisation: Greenfield SAS	Organization: Friends of the Earth CZ
Chamber: Economic North	Chamber: Environmental South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
The General Assembly (GA) recognizes the role of National Initiatives (NIs) in the promotion, development and maintenance of the FSC system. The GA recognizes that to date NIs have not received a share of revenue generated by the system that reflects the contribution they make to its development. It further recognizes that further growth of the system will increase the burden on NIs.	
In view of this recognition, the GA proposes that:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- FSC A.C. Executive Director develop a revenue sharing model in consultation with NIs that recognizes the roles of all parts of the system including ASI, IC, GD and NIs as well as the need to allocate resources to strategic priorities. Such a system should not compromise the integrity of the FSC system;</li> <li>- That the share of revenue allocated to NIs should be based on revenue generated from certificates or licenses issued in a particular country;</li> <li>- That FSC AC report on how revenue is shared between different parts of the system on an annual basis, and,</li> </ul>	



- That this model will be developed prior to the introduction of the proposed Trademark License Fee system (but not later than December 2009).	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by paper ballot: 87.8 % YES; 12.2 % NO.

<b>Motion to the role of National Initiatives (Governance)</b>	<b>Policy 57</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Paulo Henrique Muller da Silva
Organization:	Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais - IPEF
Chamber:	Economic South
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>The right for NI staff to speak at the GA</b>	<b>Policy 58</b> <b>AMENDED</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Uwe Sayer
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Environmental North
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name:	Michal Rezek
Organization:	FOE Czech Republic
Chamber:	Environmental South
Name:	Guido Fuchs
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Economic North
<b>Results:</b> <b>Not passed</b>	Vote by paper ballot: 43.4 % YES; 56.6 % NO.

<b>Motion on the role of FSC National Initiatives in the global FSC network</b>	<b>Policy 59</b> <b>AMENDED</b> (Includes components of Motions 56 and 57)
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name:	Gillian Orrell
Organization:	Individual
Chamber:	Economic North
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name:	Eric Palola,
Organization:	National Wildlife Federation
Chamber:	Environmental North
Name:	Grant Rosoman
Organization:	Greenpeace New Zealand
Chamber:	Environmental North
<b>Policy Motion:</b>	
<p>The General Assembly agrees that several changes in the FSC network are needed to better support National Initiatives, to clarify and strengthen roles and responsibilities, and encourage decision making at the appropriate levels. Consistent with the conclusions of the Governance Review process, the following efforts shall be undertaken by the Secretariat in partnership with duly recognized representatives of National Initiatives:</p>	



	<p>1 Contracts will be executed by and between the Secretariat and duly constituted National Initiatives that define their respective roles, responsibilities, and allocation of revenue.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The Secretariat and NI's will collectively develop a process for contract negotiations and identify contract elements common to all contracts;</li> <li>ii. Contracts will be customized according to the mutually agreed capabilities of the NI, country characteristics, and strategic needs.</li> </ul> <p>2 Up to eight (8) Regional Networks will be formed, represented by Regional Councils for purposes of identifying regional priorities, including joint planning and budgeting with the Secretariat.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Appropriate regions will be identified in collaboration with NIs.</li> <li>ii. Each NI will appoint one representative to serve on a Regional Council.</li> <li>iii. Duly formed Regional Councils shall meet at least once per year with support from the Secretariat.</li> </ul> <p>3 NI's shall annually nominate a delegate to serve on the FSC Board of Directors in a non-voting role. The delegate will have the responsibility of reporting to the NI community and maintain smooth flow of information between the NI community and the FSC Board.</p>
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Motion to determine priorities for FSC over the next three years</b>	<b>Policy 60</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Gillian Orrell	
Organization: Individual	
Chamber: Economic North	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to improve quality and consistency in FSC service delivery</b>	<b>Policy 61</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Liviu Amariei	
Organization: Individual	
Chamber: Economic South	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Basis of FSC fee structure</b>	<b>Policy 62</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Jim Newberry	
Organization: Potlatch Corporation	
Chamber: Economic North	
<b>Results:</b>	<b>Motion withdrawn</b>

<b>Motion to promote certified operations commitment to FSC</b>	<b>Policy 63</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>	
Name: Ana Young Downey	
Organisation: Individual	



Chamber: Economic South	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Andrés Venegas S. Organisation: Individual Chamber: Social South	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Luís Astorga Schneider Organisation: Agrupación de Ingenieros Forestales por el Bosque Nativo Chamber: Social South
<b>Policy Motion:</b>  The proposal is that all certified operations, both in Forest Management and Chain of Custody, should be asked to show their commitment to FSC through concrete actions that promote FSC certification, such as, for example: - participating with the National Initiative in information workshops/seminars, - participating in commercial fairs highlighting the FSC label, - becoming a member of the National Initiative, - preparing stationery material for promotion - etc.	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Not passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Motion to promote solidarity in the network through the Twinning of National Initiatives from the South and the North through formal agreements</b>	<b>Policy 64 NEW</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b> Name: Chris Van Dam Organization: Individual Member Chamber: Social South	
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Michael Spencer Organization: Individual Chamber: Economic North	<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Rubens Gomes Organization: OELA Chamber: Social South
<b>3) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Elisa Pardo Vegezzi Organization: Individual Chamber: Social North	<b>4) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Hernán Verscheure Organization: CODEF Chamber: Environmental South
<b>5) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Peter Feilberg Organization: NEPCON Chamber: Economic North	<b>6) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Janja Eke Organization: Individual Member Chamber: Environmental South
<b>7) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Jim Newberry Organization: Potlatch Chamber: Economic North	<b>8) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Sergio Herrera Organization: COATLAH Chamber: Social South
<b>9) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Marie Mbolu Organization: Chamber: Social South	<b>10) SECONDED BY:</b> Name: Martha Núñez Organization: Ambiente y Sociedad Chamber: Social South
<b>Policy Motion</b> Motion to promote solidarity in the network through the Twinning of National Initiatives from the South and the North through formal agreements that:	





<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Promote relationship based on shared markets and commercial linkages;</li> <li>2. Build capacity to promote FSC objectives;</li> <li>3. Jointly promote projects in the southern NI for which there are potential funding sources in northern NI;</li> <li>4. Develop other projects to facilitate the work of the NIs and promote the FSC network as a whole.</li> </ol> <p>The responsibility for developing and promoting this concept falls with the NIs, the proposed regional networks or with FSC-IC</p>	
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.

<b>Motion to promote an equitable distribution through the network</b>		<b>Policy 65</b> <b>NEW</b>
<b>PROPOSED BY:</b>		
Name: Elisa Pardo Vegezzi		
Organization: Individual Member		
Chamber: Social North		
<b>1) SECONDED BY:</b>		<b>2) SECONDED BY:</b>
Name: Doralice Ortiz		Name: Sergio Herrera
Organization: Corporación Aldea Global		Organization: Individual Member
Chamber: Social South		Chamber: Social South
<b>Policy Motion</b>		
<p>The FSC members request the Secretariat to develop a strategy where the funds generated by the FSC system are equitably distributed among the North and South National Initiatives, thus strengthening the whole FSC Network. This could be done through a fund which allows less developed National Initiatives to grow.</p> <p>The FSC, A.C. Executive Director shall develop a model for the distribution of this fund through consultation with National Initiatives.</p>		
<b>Results:</b> <b>Passed</b>	Vote by show of cards.	