Evaluating remote sensing indices as potential productivity and stand quality indicators for *Pinus radiata* plantations
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Abstract

The objective of the present research was to evaluate the use of several spectral vegetation indices (SVIs), including NDVI, SAVI, SR and RSR, obtained from Landsat 7 images, as potential predictors of forest productivity of radiata pine stands. We aimed to evaluate relationships between the variations in stand volume and SVIs over time and the effect of early weed control on stand growth response. We evaluated a large-scale silviculture experiment located at the Central Valley of Chile, since its establishment until 12 years of age, where weed control showed to be the major silvicultural response. Forest inventory measurements were made annually and local equations were used to estimate stand volume. Significant and highly significant correlation was found among SVI’s and stand productivity parameters. The best relationship was found between NDVI and stand cumulative volume (R-adj=0.92, p-value < 0.0001, RMSE= 0.03), but SR and RSR were able to better track productivity and the major weed control effect on stand volume growth over time. SVIs’ coefficient of variation estimates were correlated with estimates of stand productivity variability but no significant relationships were established to provide an index of stand quality due to the sensor spatial resolution and plot sizes. SVIs may serve as important tools to monitor forest growth and high-resolution imagery may provide valuable estimates of stand variability for inventory assessment or as a support tool for growth and yield models.

Keywords: Remote sensing; Vegetation indices; Planted forests; *Pinus radiata*; Quality control.

Resumo

Esta pesquisa teve como objetivo avaliar índices espectrais de vegetação (IEVs), incluindo NDVI, SAVI, SR e RSR gerados a partir de imagens Landsat 7, como estimadores potenciais da produtividade em povoamentos de *Pinus radiata*. Buscou-se avaliar as relações entre as variações do volume do
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povoamento e dos IEVs calculados ao longo do tempo, além do efeito da capina precoce na resposta em crescimento do povoamento. Foi avaliado um experimento de silvicultura de grande escala localizado no Vale Central do Chile, desde seu estabelecimento até os 12 anos de idade, onde o controle de ervas daninhas mostrou-se como o principal fator de resposta silvicultural. Inventários florestais foram realizados anualmente e equações locais foram utilizadas para estima o volume do povoamento. Correlações significativas e altamente significativas foram encontradas entre os índices estimados e os parâmetros de produtividade do sítio. O melhor modelo foi ajustado a partir do NDVI para o volume acumulado do plantio (R=0.92, p-value < 0.0001, RMSE = 0.03), porém SR e RSR refletiram de melhor maneira a produtividade e o efeito do controle de plantas daninhas no crescimento do povoamento ao longo do tempo. Coeficientes de variação dos IEVs foram correlacionados com estimativas de variabilidade da produtividade do povoamento, sem, entretanto, permitir o estabelecimento de relações significativas para fornecer um índice de qualidade do povoamento devido à resolução do sensor e ao tamanho das parcelas. Os IEVs podem servir como importantes ferramentas para monitorar o crescimento da floresta e imagens de alta resolução podem fornecer valiosas estimativas da variabilidade do povoamento para a avaliação de inventário, bem como servir como ferramenta de suporte para modelos de crescimento e produção.

Palavras-chave: Sensoriamento remoto; Índices de vegetação; Floresta plantada; Pinus radiata; Controle de qualidade.

1. INTRODUCTION

Leaf Area Index (LAI) is defined as the amount of foliage per unit ground surface per area. It is an important physiological parameter which is related to many processes associated with forest ecosystems such as light interception, evapotranspiration, nutrient cycling and photosynthesis (Chen & Cihlar, 1996; Tian et al., 2007; Almeida et al., 2015). Leaf area is also dependent on climatic conditions, site factors, stand density at early ages, thus LAI can be understood as a measurement of site occupancy, integrating many elements. As a result, responses in LAI to fertilization have been observed, weed control and thinning, and consequently, in carbon accumulation and tree growth (Peduzzi et al., 2012). Since LAI integrates many elements, LAI and forest productivity are usually positively related (Dalla Tea & Jokela, 1992; Beets & Whitehead, 1996). Vose & Allen (1988), for example, studied this relationship for *Pinus taeda* stands and a unit of LAI produced an average of 7.3 m³/ha/yr and similar relationships have been presented for other species (Battaglia et al., 1998; Rubilar et al., 2013).

Due to the importance of LAI to various ecological processes, substantial research has focused on the development of tools to indirectly estimate LAI (Francis et al., 1969; Jordan, 1969). One of these tools is remote sensing which has been used successfully to estimate LAI values (Flores, 2003; Rubilar et al., 2018; Berger et al., 2019). The basis of remote sensing to estimate LAI is based on the selective absorption of radiation by the canopy, which presents a distinguishing pattern for reflectance of radiation in the red wavelength (630-690nm) that is absorbed by chlorophyll pigments of green leaves, and the near infrared radiation (NIR – 770-900 nm) that is scattered by internal leaf structure (Running et al., 1986; Flores et al., 2006) being proportional to foliage stand biomass.

In order to characterize canopy from remote sensing data, numerous spectral vegetation indices (SVIs), including Red and Near Infra-Red (NIR) reflectance, have been proposed to empirically estimate LAI and biomass (Xavier & Vettorazzi, 2004; Almeida et al., 2015). Usually these indices combine spectral bands by simple mathematical ratios of individual bands or differences between bands (Tian et al., 2007). One of the pioneer SVIs, the near-infrared/red ratio (or Simple Ratio - SR), developed by Jordan (1969) to estimate leaf area index in a tropical rainforest canopy, has been found highly related to LAI in coniferous natural and planted forests (Running et al., 1986; Flores et al., 2006). However, SR index may present some limitations when saturation occurs at high stand LAI values (Le Maire et al., 2012) or when understory is present in abundance (Stenberg et al., 2004). In order to avoid this limitation Brown et al. (2000) developed the reduced simple ratio index (RSR), which includes a middle infrared spectral band in the calculation, aimed at reducing the effect of background reflectance and increasing the sensitivity to changes in LAI. Results from Stenberg et al. (2004),
Brown et al. (2000), and Tian et al. (2007) suggest that RSR presented better correlations with LAI than SR for coniferous stands in Finland, Canada and China, respectively.

Another very important spectral vegetation index that has been widely used is the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), which is a normalized ratio of the NIR and red bands (Rouse et al., 1973). Several studies in different types of forest formations have related NDVI to: LAI, biomass and productivity (Yang et al., 2017). However, NDVI also presents some limitations such as: a) saturation when LAI has values higher than 2 or 3, b) its sensitivity to soil variation by color and/or humidity (Viganó et al., 2011). As a result of these restrictions, the Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI) (Huete, 1988) has been proposed, which considers a correction factor (L) for soil effects on vegetation reflectance. Huete (1988) suggested an optimal value of L = 0.5 to minimize these variations. Almeida et al. (2015) found a good relationship between SAVI and LAI; however, the results suggest that the L constant has to be reviewed and adjusted to local-specific values in order to achieve an accurate relationship. Since SVIs are related to LAI, which has been extensively related to forest productivity, the objective of this study was to evaluate the potential direct use of spectral vegetation indices, using Landsat 7 imagery, on explaining *Pinus radiata* annual productivity from the stand establishment until the age of 12 years and to evaluate their direct potential value as a complementary tool for improving inventory and/or dynamic variables of potential use on hybrid G&Y models.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Area

The study site, located at the Central Valley of Chile (37°10'42" S, 72°15'47" W), was described as a Dry Sand site in detail by Rubilar et al. (2013) and Albaugh et al. (2004). Briefly, a long-term experiment was established in order to examine the rotation effects of subsoiling, weed control and fertilization effects in radiata pine plantations. Soils at the site are andesitic-basaltic excessively drained dry sands. Meteorological records, available from a nearby weather station, indicate an average annual rainfall of 1230 mm year\(^{-1}\), ranging from 760 mm in 2010 to 2043 mm in 2002. Mean annual temperature recorded at the site was 13.7 °C. The area is a second rotation cutover, with a 14.9 m site index (20 years) and estimated first rotation productivity (24 years) of 7.8 m\(^3\).ha\(^{-1}\).year\(^{-1}\); the herbaceous competition was dominated by *Rumex acetosella* and *Verbascum densiflorum*, and common woody shrubs were *Rubus ulmifolius* and *Baccharis linearis* (Rubilar et al., 2013).

The original study investigated the effects and interaction of soil preparation (subsoiling), weed control up to 2 years, and fertilization treatments at establishment on radiata pine growth. Soil tillage treatments were randomly assigned and applied on main plots considering shovel planting (S0) and subsoiling + bedding & shovel planting (S1). Main plots were split in four blocks, and a factorial combination of weed control (W0 = no weed control, W1 = 2-year banded weed control) and fertilization (F0 = boron at establishment vs F1 = nitrogen, phosphorus and boron at establishment + nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and boron after 2 years since planting) were allocated as subplots. Initial spacing at establishment was 4.0 x 2.0 m, totaling 1250 trees ha\(^{-1}\). Treatment plots were 0.32 ha with an internal measurement plot of 100 trees plot\(^{-1}\). The plantation was established in July 2000 with bare root radiata pine cuttings of an operational full-sib family. More details about the site and applied treatments can be found in Rubilar et al. (2013).

#### 2.2 Growth measurements

Tree height and DBH (diameter at breast height, 1.35 m) were measured annually since 2004 until 2012 for all trees in each measurement plot (~100 trees plot\(^{-1}\)). Individual tree stem volume estimates were obtained considering the following local function (Equation 1), developed by Forestal Mininco Co. (1995):
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Vol\(=\left(-0.00214+0.0000295 \cdot \text{DBH}^2+0.001349 \cdot \text{H}_t\right)+\left(0.00002486 \cdot \text{DBH}^2 \cdot \text{H}_t\right)\)

Where: Vol is individual tree volume (m\(^3\)), DBH is diameter at breast height (cm) and H\(_t\) is tree height (m).

2.3 Remote Sensing data

Eighteen raw Landsat 7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) images (path 233, row 086) with a 30-meter pixel resolution were obtained from USGS archive (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov) in September 2017 to calculate Spectral Vegetation Indices (SVIs) for each pixel from year 2001 to 2012 for the study area (Table 1). At least one image per year, between January and March, and with no clouds affecting reflectance values, was selected during this period.

Table 1. Summary of parameters of the Landsat 7 ETM+ satellite images used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Date (YY-MM-DD)</th>
<th>Sun Azimuth (°)</th>
<th>Sun Elevation (°)</th>
<th>Image Date (YY-MM-DD)</th>
<th>Sun Azimuth (°)</th>
<th>Sun Elevation (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001-02-14</td>
<td>65.32</td>
<td>47.31</td>
<td>2007-11-14</td>
<td>64.35</td>
<td>56.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-10-12</td>
<td>53.21</td>
<td>47.81</td>
<td>2008-03-05</td>
<td>57.67</td>
<td>42.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-02-01</td>
<td>70.39</td>
<td>49.82</td>
<td>2008-10-31</td>
<td>59.56</td>
<td>53.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-08-12</td>
<td>41.25</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>2009-02-20</td>
<td>63.03</td>
<td>45.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-02-20</td>
<td>63.63</td>
<td>45.72</td>
<td>2010-02-23</td>
<td>61.49</td>
<td>45.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-02-23</td>
<td>62.42</td>
<td>45.15</td>
<td>2010-09-19</td>
<td>46.49</td>
<td>40.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-02-09</td>
<td>67.46</td>
<td>48.18</td>
<td>2011-01-09</td>
<td>74.49</td>
<td>54.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-02-28</td>
<td>59.97</td>
<td>43.98</td>
<td>2011-09-06</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>35.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-03-03</td>
<td>58.75</td>
<td>43.36</td>
<td>2012-01-28</td>
<td>70.46</td>
<td>51.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Digital numbers (DNs) from the Landsat scene were converted to at-sensor spectral radiance, using the provided maximum and minimum radiance values delivered from each image. This procedure removes the gain and offset effects introduced by the image system (Chávez, 1996). Radiance values were converted to reflectance at the top of atmosphere (TOA). This procedure allows the comparison of different images because it corrects differences associated with different solar zenith angles and Earth-Sun distances due to the time difference between data acquisitions. It also compensates different values of exo-atmospheric solar irradiance for each band (Chander et al., 2009).

2.4 Spectral Vegetation Indices

From the atmospherically corrected reflectance values obtained through the processed data it was possible to calculate four different SVIs for the study plots. The calculation was performed using Equation 2 for NDVI, Equation 3 for SAVI, Equation 4 for SR and Equation 5 for RSR. In each plot, a 10 m buffer was considered to avoid influences from other treatments on reflectance estimates. Consequently, the final area for the plots was 60 x 20 meters, which included two to four independent pixels. Selected SVIs were calculated using three Landsat ETM spectral bands, including red (channel 3: 630-690 nm), NIR (channel 4: 760-900 nm) and SWIR (Short-wave Infrared - channel 5: 1550-1750 nm), displayed in the equations below:
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\[ \text{NDVI} = \frac{\rho_4 - \rho_3}{\rho_4 + \rho_3} \quad (2), \]

\[ \text{SAVI} = \frac{(1+L_s)(\rho_4 - \rho_3)}{(\rho_4 + \rho_3)} \quad (3), \]

\[ \text{SR} = \frac{\rho_4}{\rho_3} \quad (4), \]

\[ \text{RSR} = \frac{\rho_4}{\rho_3} \frac{(\rho_{\text{MAX}} - \rho_3)}{(\rho_{\text{MAX}} - \rho_{\text{MIN}})} \quad (5), \]

where

- \( \rho_3 \) is band 3 reflectance;
- \( \rho_4 \) is band 4 reflectance;
- \( \rho_5 \) is band 5 reflectance;
- \( \rho_{\text{MAX}} \) are the largest reflectance values for channel 5 covering the study area;
- \( \rho_{\text{MIN}} \) are the smallest reflectance values for channel 5 covering the study area;
- \( L_s \) is a soil adjustment factor that varies from 0.25 to 0.75, being 0.5 an accepted value for all soil types.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the software R (R Core Team, 2017). Linearized logarithmic models (Gong; Pu; Miller, 1995; Chen; Cihlar, 1996), with volume and volume increment as independent variables (Equation 6), were used to analyze the relationships with each one of the SVIs. Each model considered the following mathematical expression:

\[ Y = a + b \ln(x) \quad (6), \]

where \( Y \) represents the SVIs, \( a \) and \( b \) are regression coefficients and \( x \) is the independent variable, represented, separately, by cumulative volume, MAI (mean annual increment) and CAI (current annual increment).

In order to account for estimates bias, the ratio between the root mean standard error (RMSE) and the mean of the SVIs was used as a residual analysis (also expressed as %RMSE) (Turner et al., 1999; Gizachew et al., 2016). For each relationship, the coefficient of determination \( (r^2) \) and the observed significance level (p-value) were also considered to check the goodness of fit and statistical significance of the relationships as the best spectral vegetation index predictor to estimate wood production of the stand.

Regarding the comparison of SVIs response over time, Tukey’s test was used on the basis of a 5% significance level, providing information about the difference between treatments.

In order to evaluate the potential relationships between stand uniformity and SVIs, a coefficient of variation (CV) of each SVI was calculated to evaluate the value of indirect assessments of stand variability (Rubilar et al., 2008). Analysis of the relationship between volume estimates variability with vegetation indices’ coefficients of variation (CVs) were performed in order to explore their value as estimates of intrinsic spatial variation of stand production. For this comparison, mean annual CV values of volume and SVI were calculated for each evaluated treatment (with and without weed control).
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3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Cumulative and growth measurements

Growth measurements showed that since the beginning of the experiment (one year after treatment) there were significant differences between plots with weed control (W1) and with no weed control (W0) (Figure 1). Mean volume response due to weed control was 2 m³ ha⁻¹ at year 3 (a 485% difference compared to the no-weed control treatment) and 35 m³ ha⁻¹ at year 11 (a 52% difference). Fertilization and subsoiling treatments had no significant effects in stand growth; therefore only weed control effects were observed across treatment plots. These results corroborated preceding studies, which have shown the importance of early weed control in *P. radiata*, providing a large response in the tree growth when water limitations exist at Mediterranean climate sites (Kogan & Figueroa, 1999; Rubilar et al., 2008). Large effects of weed control at these sites can be explained due to reductions in competition for water and light (Richardson et al., 1996) given the lack of response to nutrient additions.

![Figure 1. Accumulated volume (m³) observed in the experimental trial for W1 (black dots) and W0 (gray dots) plots.](image)

3.2 SVIs response over time

Vegetation indices values were calculated over time as shown in Figure 2. As of the second year, SVIs at the W1 treatment plots were significantly higher than at the W0 treatment plots, reaching a maximum difference of 18% for NDVI and SAVI, 21% for SR and 61% for RSR. The higher and statistically different (p-value < 0.0001) values of SVIs in W1, compared to W0 plots, followed the results observed for volume responses (Figure 1).

Since SVIs estimate LAI, our results are in agreement with other studies (Battaglia et al., 1998; Cohrs et al., 2020), which indicate that LAI is strongly related to forest growth and productivity. A comparison among SVIs showed differences in the quality of its relationship with volume growth. However, in general, all indices showed a stabilization after canopy closure age, matching an expected maximum stand LAI. For W1 plots, this was observed when the stand was 9 to 10 years old. In contrast, in W0 plots, it was observed about 2 to 3 years later (Figure 2). This behavior can be explained considering the relationship between LAI and stand development, which tends to reach a maximum and decline after certain age, after which variations in leaf area are small and volume growth continues at a constant rate (Battaglia et al., 1998; Qiao et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it is also possible that the observed SVIs stabilization and the slight decline in all indices were due to changes in rainfall due to extreme drought events reported at the site. In fact, during year 2010, annual rainfall was 38% lower than its average over the region, which may have directly influenced leaf area according to the
studies by Grier & Running (1977) and Luo et al. (2004), where LAI decreased with decreasing water availability.

Over time - at W1 and W0 plots - NDVI values ranged from 0.182 to 0.651 and from 0.197 to 0.632, respectively. The lowest NDVI values were observed in the first year of the study, right after establishment. In the W1 plots, NDVI stabilization was reached around year 9 with a value close to 0.62, while in the W0 plots a similar value was reached at approximately year 12 (Figure 2A). NDVI stabilization around 0.60 can be related to the saturation of this SVI, an issue that has been related to an underestimation of ecosystem productivity in dense biomass regions when NDVI has been correlated with LAI and forest productivity (Gu et al., 2013). Spanner et al. (1990) and Fassnacht et al. (1997) found saturation of NDVI for LAI estimation when this index reached a value of 0.80 for coniferous forests. Alvares et al. (2013) observed maximum values of NDVI close to 0.90 when analyzing the NDVI dynamics of Pinus taeda and Pinus caribaea var. hondurensis stands in Brazil. Since the maximum values for NDVI at age 11 were around 0.60 in our study, our estimates are less likely to have reached a saturation for NDVI.

SAVI had a similar behavior to NDVI, although it showed a narrower range of variation, ranging from 0.09 to 0.29 for W1 plots and from 0.10 to 0.31 for W0 plots (Figure 2B) and the lowest values were observed during the first year after establishment. The highest values were found when the stand was 10 years old for W1 plots, achieving an average SAVI of 0.28. For W0 plots, the highest value was achieved at age 12, with an average of 0.26. SAVI also presented a decline over time after achieving its maximum value. As NDVI, SAVI has been also reported in studies as an index that presents saturation at high biomass values (Xavier; Vettorazzi, 2004; Almeida et al., 2015). For Eucalyptus plantations in Brazil, Almeida et al. (2015) found saturation of SAVI for LAI estimation when values reached 0.45. At watershed-level, Xavier & Vettorazzi (2004) also reported saturation of SAVI at high values of LAI (>3), achieving a maximum index of 0.5. However, Huete et al. (1997) did not find saturation of SAVI for forests in Brazil and Chile. Considering the maximum observed values found in our study, saturation was not reached for SAVI.

The SR values showed a wider range compared to NDVI and SAVI, ranging from 1.44 to 4.74 in W1 plots and from 1.49 to 4.44 in W0 plots (Figure 2C). The lowest values were observed in the first year and the highest were found at age 11 for W0 and 7 for W1 plots. Differently from NDVI and SAVI, SR did not stabilize in W0 plots over time. This behavior was observed by Chen & Cihlar (1996) in coniferous forests, finding linear correlations between LAI and SR. In loblolly pine (P. taeda) plantations, Flores (2003) also found linear correlations with maximum values of SR around 7 and indicating no-saturation conditions.

Finally, the RSR was the index with the highest difference in relation to response for weed control, with average differences of 35% over time. Its range was wider than SR, ranging from 0.03 to 4.61 in W1 plots and 0.07 to 3.17 in W0 plots (Figure 2D). Also, a higher plot variation was observed for this SVI when compared to other vegetation indices, presenting statistically significant differences starting in year 2 (Figure 2). While W1 plots achieved a maximum value at year 9 and afterwards a decline, W0 plots followed a continuous increase and showed no stabilization until year 12. These notorious differences can be explained through the addition of the shortwave 5 (SWIR) band to the SR index. As presented in Equation 5, the maximum and minimum reflected SWIR was considered in order to calculate the index. In addition, each plot had only 2 to 4 pixels, thus the impact of pixels which presented high values of reflectance in SWIR band may have caused the low values observed in the plots where they were located (usually W0 plots). On the other hand, in plots where the difference of \( \text{SWIR}_{\text{max}} \) and \( \text{SWIR} \) was higher, RSR values were higher (normally in W0 plots). This phenomenon is explained due to the relationship between SWIR and LAI, where low SWIR values are related to high LAI values (Brown et al., 2000). In what concerns the saturation aspect, Stenberg et al. (2004) found linear relationships between LAI and RSR for boreal coniferous forests. However, Brown et al. (2000) and Tian et al. (2007) found a saturation for LAI, close to 3.0 and 4.0 \( \text{m}^2/\text{m}^2 \), respectively.

Regarding the differences between the observed SVI values in the study, differences between W0 and W1 plots were found, at a 5 percent significance level, for NDVI and SR from
year 3 to year 11; for SAVI from year 4 to year 11; and for RSR from year 2 to year 11. However, in the 10-year measurement, these differences were not significant for NDVI, SAVI and SR, what may be explained by the reduction of annual rainfall in 2010.

Figure 2. Comportment of NDVI (A), SAVI (B), SR (C) and RSR (D) over time, since the establishment. Black color represents W1 plots and gray color refers to W0 plots.

3.3 Relationships between volume and SVIs

All the spectral vegetation indices presented significant correlations with the volume, with p-values lower than 0.0001. However, they differed with respect to their fit and goodness of prediction (Table 2). NDVI and SAVI presented narrow ranges (respectively 0.18-0.65 and 0.09-0.31) across the range of volume values, but SR and RSR were more sensitive to volume variation, presenting ranges of 1.44-4.74 and 0.03-4.61, respectively.

Significant correlations for all SVIs are explained due to the strong relationship between leaf area index and stand growth, as presented in several studies involving volume (m³ ha⁻¹ or m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), biomass (Mg ha⁻¹), ANPP (above ground net primary production - Mg ha⁻¹) or basal area growth (cm² yr⁻¹) with leaf area or leaf area index. In *P. taeda* stands in the US, Vose & Allen (1988) found positive and linear correlations (r² = 0.75, p <0.05) between stem wood growth (m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and LAI. Dalla Tea & Jokela (1992); in *Pinus taeda* and *Pinus elliottii* stands in Argentina, linear and positive correlations were observed (r² = 0.98, and r²= 0.86, p<0.05, respectively) between MAI and LAI. In Canada, Penner & Deblonde (1996) related leaf area and basal area growth of *Pinus resinosa* and *Pinus banksiana*, finding significant correlations for both species. In radiata pine stands in New Zealand with different ages (between 7 and 17 years old), Beets & Whitehead (1996) found significant linear relationship (r² = 0.64, p=0.01) between LAI and biomass annual increment.

Accuracy of estimates represented by %RMSE values found in our study showed two different patterns regarding SVIs. For NDVI, SAVI and SR, %RMSE ranged from 0.05 to 0.09, while RSR presented higher values, ranging from 0.27 to 0.31, which indicates a poorest fit compared to other indices (Biudes et al., 2014). Similar results for NDVI, SAVI and SR were found by Dube & Mutanga (2015), with %RMSE values ranging from 0.12 to 0.14, when analyzing relationships between SVIs and aboveground biomass in a *P. taeda* stand in South Africa.
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Table 2. Models, $r^2$, RMSE and %RMSE for the volume-SVIs relationship, using different representations of volume (accumulated volume, CAI and MAI) and four SVIs (NDVI, SAVI, SR and RSR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>%RMSE</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative Volume</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI = 0.0619 ln (Vol) + 0.3563</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVI = 0.0248 ln (Vol) + 0.1717</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR = 0.4942 ln (Vol) + 2.0373</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSR = 0.4782 ln (Vol) + 0.8686</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Annual Increment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI = 0.0877 ln (CAI) + 0.3669</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVI = 0.0374 ln (CAI) + 0.1702</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR = 0.78124 ln (CAI) + 1.9282</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSR = 0.5515 ln (CAI) + 0.8432</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean Annual Increment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI = 0.0823 ln (MAI) + 0.4516</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVI = 0.0330 ln (MAI) + 0.2097</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR = 0.6521 ln (MAI) + 2.8025</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSR = 0.6820 ln (MAI) + 1.5570</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Relationship between NDVI (A), SAVI (B), SR (C) and RSR (D) and annual stem-wood volume growth.

Comparing all volume parameters analyzed in our study, the one which presented the lowest %RMSE values was MAI. It presented the smallest %RMSE values for all the SVIs and relationships are shown in Figure 3. Our analyses of all SVIs indicated that NDVI showed the best correlations with cumulative volume, CAI and MAI reaching $r^2$ values of 0.917, 0.787 and 0.908, respectively (Table 2). This positive relationship confirms the results found by Alvares et al. (2013) with *P. taeda* and *P. caribaea* var. *hondurensis* in Brazil and by Wang et al. (2004) with natural vegetation in USA. These authors found high correlations between NDVI and productivity, both at stand and individual tree levels. González-Alonso et al. (2006)
observed a linear relationship ($r^2 = 0.79-0.82$) between NDVI and stem volume (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$) in a pine forest in Spain. However, in sparse semi-arid vegetation for the same region, NDVI did not present robust correlations with biomass (Hurcom & Harrison, 1998). Evaluating the relationships between NDVI and biomass in different types of vegetation, Zheng et al. (2004) and Mašková et al. (2008), have found $r^2$ values ranging from 0.51-0.86. However, saturation of NDVI has been reported under high biomass accumulation conditions (Huete et al., 1997). This particular issue may limit NDVI as a useful index to be correlated with productivity when forest stands reach higher LAI values after canopy closure, thus leading to a potential underestimation of ecosystem productivity (Gu et al., 2013).

SAVI presented a good correlation with stand growth, being the second index with the highest $r^2$ for all evaluated parameters (0.896 for accumulated volume, 0.758 for CAI and 0.894 for MAI) (Table 2). Several studies have evaluated the relationship between SAVI and green aboveground biomass, mainly at biomes where soil has shown effects on reflectance values, such as deserts and arid grasslands (Ren & Zhou, 2014). In tropical forests in Brazil, Araujo et al. (2000) found strong linear relationships ($r^2=0.80$) between SAVI and stand biomass. A similar result was obtained by Gizachew et al. (2016) in low-biomass woodlands in Tanzania, who found a good linear relationship between total living biomass with a coefficient of determination of 0.49 and $p$-value < 0.005. Regardless the high correlation with volume, SAVI may be presenting saturation problems similarly to NDVI at high biomass (Prabhakara et al., 2015). Therefore, the use of SAVI may be problematic at a more advanced age, when biomass accumulation reaches higher values.

SR, similarly to NDVI and SAVI, also presented high coefficients of correlation for accumulated volume, CAI and MAI (0.883, 0.751 and 0.865, respectively) (Table 2), similarly to other studies. In fact, comparing different SVIs in a mountain birch forest in Finland, and their relationships with aboveground biomass, Heiskanen (2006) found the strongest linear relationships using SR ($r^2=0.81$). Similar results were also obtained by Liu et al. (2006) in a logarithmic model ($r^2=0.73$) relating SR and biomass in China. Although SR did not present the highest coefficients of correlation or the lowest %RMSE, this index showed a higher potential as a robust estimator of forest productivity at high leaf area or biomass accumulation levels. In fact, studies (Running et al., 1986; Flores et al., 2006) have reported that SR presents less saturation and broader responses across a broader range of LAI than NDVI and SAVI, a key consideration to predict forest productivity (Stenberg et al., 2004).

Compared to the other indices, RSR performed the lowest $r^2$ values (ranging from 0.425 to 0.607) (Table 2). These poorer correlations were caused by the high variation found in RSR, probably due to the addition of the SWIR band as discussed previously. However, a study carried out in boreal forest stands in Finland (Majasalmi & Rautiainen, 2016), found a poorer relationship ($r^2=0.21$) between RSR and above ground biomass compared to our study. Although RSR, similarly to SR, has a wider range of values in comparison with NDVI and SAVI, it was not a good index to explain stand volume variation.

### 3.4 Relationships with stand quality

Stand homogeneity is a key indicator of stand quality. Studies have observed that final productivity of a forest stand is highly related to stand uniformity (Stape et al., 2010). Silvicultural operations, such as fertilization, weed control and soil preparation (Hakamada et al., 2015) influence stand uniformity. In addition, stand uniformity is critical for forest planning activities such as thinning, pruning and harvesting (Ortega et al., 2002).

Volume variability expressed by CV differences between treatments (weed control vs no weed control) were evaluated by analyses of SVIs’ CVs as indicators of stand uniformity. Estimates of volume CV over time in W1 plots were generally lower than in W0 plots (Figure 4). Similar results have been found for *Eucalyptus* in South Africa, when analyzing the impact of weed control treatments on the stand uniformity and volume of Eucalyptus plantations (Little et al., 2003). Our results suggest that stand variability may be explored using CV values
from remote sensing and support local selection of inventory plots or provide a framework for stand forest assessments using SVIs spatial variability.

A strong relationship between any of the evaluated SVI’s CVs and volume CV was not found (Figure 4). Possibly, this result is due to the small number of pixels that constitutes each plot, ranging from 2 to 4 different pixels, only. However, although the indices did not present a strong correlation with homogeneity in this study, they should be considered for larger areas, since a small number of pixels for each plot may have limited our ability to understand stand spatial variation in wood production. Also, sensors with a higher spatial resolution may provide consistent estimates of stand uniformity predictors using SVIs.

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4** Coefficient of variation of volume and of NDVI (A), SAVI (B), SR (C) and RSR (D) over time. Black dots represent W1 plots and gray dots refer to W0 plots.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

The stand presented significant differences in volume estimates between plots with weed control versus plots without weed control. This difference was effectively captured by the vegetation indices over time, thus demonstrating how accurate this tool can be.

All the spectral vegetation indices presented significant correlations with cumulative volume of the stand over time enabling its estimation in an effective way through satellite images. Among the evaluated indices, NDVI presented the highest correlations at the initial stand ages, however SR presented the highest potential for evaluations over time when biomass achieved higher cumulative values.

Although no significant relationships were found for vegetation indices in relation to stand volume variability, improved assessments may detect and evaluate spatial forest variability. Future studies should consider larger areas or sensors with a higher spatial resolution order to evaluate these estimates.

SVIs are dynamic tools that can provide valuable information to monitor forest growth and stand variability. Integrating these indices into empirical and process-based models considering water, soil and climatic variables may be an important tool for advancing on precision silviculture of forest stands.
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